\Im STRATEGY & FORESIGHT - SERIOUS GAMES



In meeting the uncertainty of our world today, thinking strategically and generating foresight is more important than ever. Achieving this requires the creative

collaboration and strategic reflection of stakeholders. Even though complex challenges require equally complex solutions, we believe our serious games can make a valuable contribution through honing problem-solving skills, selfassessment, and pertinent discussion. The LEAP platform for serious games creates alignment regarding, and understanding of, current status, challenges, goals - and how to reach those goals.



Serious games. First of all, what we mean by 'serious games' is applied games with clearly defined knowledge and/or skill outcomes. This form of game deploys interactive content that simulates real life scenarios in a controlled environment, either as a physical board game or digitally for remote presence. The games House of Knowledge creates revolve around collaboration between stakeholders with different backgrounds, pooling their experiences to create insight. These games aim to trigger participants' curiosity, facilitate strategic reflection, and initiate stakeholder contribution. When applying serious games as analytical tools, the potential for novel insight is significant.

The LEAP Platform. LEAP is a serious game platform – an approach for creating organizational development engaging experiences through the application of genuine organizational scenarios. Play happens in small groups, preferably with several groups at a time and participants with different backgrounds. Groups gather around a game board to discover pertinent information and create useful knowledge. This can lead to tangible results, challenges solved – LEAP based serious game can be used by organizations that needs a clearer grasp on their strategical operation. This includes new directions, understanding business cases, and even onboarding team members. Games are tailored to needs since the gameplay is specific to the players, any type of organization and field of expertise can benefit from participation.

Strategy and foresight games. When it comes to generating foresight, our on-site and remote presence/digital serious games can be used to simulate scenarios, explore opportunities, as well as use specific business cases to stress test and observe understanding of scenarios, their impact, and robustness in the relevant context. This helps with discovering challenges and/or issues and allows for data extraction from gameplay to be used as the basis both for decision-making and research data. Data extracted from serious games can be useful for assessing risks, probabilities, and potential impacts related to innovations and new solutions to persistent challenges. What is more, they can also be used for vulnerability and capability assessment and training.



How and why it works. The LEAP platform is built on a solid theoretical framework, and the principles derived from research is what makes it work so well. Regarding *insight and reflection*, the platform is built around the notion of working collaboratively to solve specific dilemmas [1], [2]. This is enhanced by designing for use of real life experience in the games [3], [4]. LEAP also uses complex but concrete problems, which increase knowledge gained and potential foresight [2], [5], [6]. Scenarios are kept close to reality to further aid applicability and learning [7], [8]. To create useful play, it is important to design also for enjoyment. Through the game and the facilitator, participants are kept interested appropriately and challenged, which increases motivation and therefore enjoyment [9], [10]. This also makes players believe in their skills, and put them to use [11], [12] towards needed strategic insight. Gameplay factors, rules and concepts informing game design and play, are used to make sure groups function optimally. Facilitation is one example [13] of this, ensuring the game feels close to the reality of the participants is another [14], [15]. This

also encompasses the contextual factors that must be accounted for, such as education, experience [16], and culture[17], [18]. Lastly, by employing in situ data extraction analytical and strategical insight, as well as impact prediction [19], can be gained by providing a deeper understanding of participant performance and experience both individually and as a result of the collaborative process they engage in [20], [21]

Further information on our policy and strategy serious games for IΡ and competitiveness:

> SIT edition (policy game) https://vimeo.com/172708694 War Room edition (strategy game) https://vimeo.com/223297825

If this sounds like an interesting opportunity to you, feel free to contact us. 🔨 HOUSE OF KNOWLEDGE

> Point of contact: www.hoknowledge.com CEO Magnus Hakvåg Magnus.Hakvag@hoknowledge.com +47 41 30 66 34

- B. Rogoff, "Cognition as a collaborative process," in Handbook of Child Psychology *Vol. 2: Cognition, Perception, and Language*, 5th ed., W. Damon, D. Kuhn, and R. S Siegler, Eds. New York: J. Wiley, 1998, pp. 679–744.
- C. E. Hmelo-Silver, "Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn?," Educ. Psychol. Rev., vol. 16, no. 3, pp. 235-266, Sep. 2004, doi: 10/d2xtfd. [2]
- [3] D. A. Kolb, Experiential learning: experience as the source of learning and development, Second edition. Upper Saddle River, New Jersey: Pearson Education, Inc. 2015.
- K. Killi, S. de Freitas, S. Arnab, and T. Lainema, "The Design Principles for Flow Experience in Educational Games," *Proceedia Comput. Sci.*, vol. 15, pp. 78–91, [4] 2012, doi: 10/ggckds.
- H. S. Barrows and R. M. Tamblyn, *Problem-based learning: An approach to* [5]
- medical education. New York: Springer Pub. Co, 1980.
 C. E. Hmelo-Silver and H. S. Barrows, "Goals and Strategies of a Problem-based Learning Facilitator," *Interdiscip. J. Probl.-Based Learn*, vol. 1, no. 1, May 2006, [6] doi: 10.7771/1541-5015.1004.
- I. Lave and E. Wenger, Situated learning: Legitimate peripheral participation. [7]
- Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1991. J. S. Brown, A. Collins, and P. Duguid, "Situated cognition and the culture of [8]
- learning," *Educ. Res.*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 32–42, 1989. R. M. Ryan and E. L. Deci, "Intrinsic and Extrinsic Motivations: Classic Definitions
- [9] and New Directions," Contemp. Educ. Psychol., vol. 25, no. 1, pp. 54-67, Jan. 2000, Goi: 10/dxg9qk.
 S. Rigby and R. M. Ryan, *Glued to games: how video games draw us in and hold*
- [10] us spellbound. Santa Barbara, Calif: ABC-CLIO, 2011. A. Bandura, "Self-Efficacy Mechanism in Human Agency," *Am. Psychol.*, vol. 37, no.
- [11]
- 2, pp. 122-147, 1982, doi: 10/dszsqm. M. Fishbein and I. Ajzen, *Belief, Attitude, Intention, and Behavior: an Introduction to Theory and Research*. Reading, Mass: Addison-Wesley Pub. Co, 1975

- [13] R. M. Schwarz, The skilled facilitator: a comprehensive resource for consultants, facilitators, coaches, and trainers, Third edition. Hoboken, New Jersey: Jossey Bass, 2017
- A. L. Alexander, T. Brunyé, J. Sidman, and S. A. Weil, "From Gaming to Training: A [14] Review of Studies on Fidelity, Immersion, Presence, and Buy-in and Their Effects on Transfer in PC-Based Simulations and Games." 2005.
- P. Rooney, "A theoretical framework for serious game design: Exploring pedagogy play and fidelity and their implications for the design process," *Int. J. Game-Based* [15]
- Learn, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 41–60, Oct. 2012, doi: 10/gf39hj. M. Knowles, E. F. Holton, and R. A. Swanson, *The adult learner: the definitive* [16] classic in adult education and human resource development. 6th ed. Amsterdam; Boston: Elsevier, 2005.
- [17] P. A. Young, "The Presence of Culture in Learning," in Handbook of Research on
- Educational Communications and Technology, J. M. Spector, M. D. Merrill, J. Elen, and M. J. Bishop, Eds. New York, NY: Springer New York, 2014, pp. 349–361. T. M. Egan, B. Yang, and K. R. Bartlett, "The effects of organizational learning culture and job satisfaction on motivation to transfer learning and turnover [18] intention," Hum. Resour. Dev. Q., vol. 15, no. 3, pp. 279-301, 2004, doi: 10/bmmdpk.
- C. Alonso-Fernández, A. Calvo-Morata, M. Freire, I. Martínez-Ortiz, and B. [19] Fernández-Manjón, "Applications of data science to game learning analytics data: A systematic literature review," *Comput. Educ.*, vol. 141, p. 103612, Nov. 2019, doi: 10.1016/i.compedu.2019.103612.
- F. Ke, V. Shute, K. M. Clark, and G. Erlebacher, Interdisciplinary Design of Game [20] based Learning Platforms: A Phenomenological Examination of the Integrative Design of Game, Learning, and Assessment. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2019.
- C. S. Loh, Y. Sheng, and D. Ifenthaler, "Serious Games Analytics: Theoretical Framework," in *Serious Games Analytics*, C. S. Loh, Y. Sheng, and D. Ifenthaler, [21] Eds. Cham: Springer International Publishing, 2015, pp. 3-29